APPEAL NO. 020917 FILED MAY 28, 2002

This appeal arises pursuant to the	e Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB.
CODE ANN. § 401.001 et seq. (1989 Ac	t). A contested case hearing was held on March
25, 2002. The hearing officer determine	ed that the compensable injury sustained by the
respondent (claimant) on	_, extends to and includes reflex sympathetic
	contends that the "medical evidence did not show
RSD within a reasonable medical probab	oility." The appeal file contains no response from
the claimant	

DECISION

Affirmed.

Extent of injury is a factual question for the hearing officer to resolve. The hearing officer, as finder of fact, is the sole judge of the relevance and materiality of the evidence, as well as the weight and credibility that is to be given to the evidence. Section 410.165(a). The Appeals Panel will not disturb the challenged factual findings of a hearing officer unless they are so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986); In re King's Estate, 150 Tex. 662, 244 S.W.2d 660 (1951). We have reviewed the matters complained of on appeal and conclude that the hearing officer's decision is supported by sufficient evidence.

We affirm the decision and order of the hearing officer.

The true corporate name of the self-insured is **INSURANCE COMPANY OF THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 800 BRAZOS, SUITE 750, COMMODORE 1 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701.

	Michael B. McShan Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Thomas A. Knapp	
Appeals Judge	
Dov. I. Warran	
Roy L. Warren Appeals Judge	